

समक्ष श्रीमान म. प्र. राज्य प्रशासनिक अधिकरण, रायपुर छेडीठ, रायपुर छ. ग.

W.P.(S) 5163/05

श्रीमती उर्मिला श्रवण

पत्नी स्व. श्री डा. भूपेन्द्र श्रवण

निवासी - श्री एस. आर. श्रवण,

सेवानिवृत्त शिक्षक ग्राम पोस्ट-

चरौदा व्हाया गुरुर जिला दुर्ग

—

—

—

आवेदिका

=====

विरुद्ध

=====

1. छत्तीसगढ़ शासन

द्वारा सचिव,

अनुसूचित जाति कल्याण विभाग,

डी. के. एस. भवन मंत्रालय

रायपुर छत्तीसगढ़

2. सहायक आयुक्त,

आदिवासी विकास

कांकेर छत्तीसगढ़

3. जिलाधीन शिक्षा अधिकारी,

कांकेर छत्तीसगढ़

4. जिलाधीन,

कांकेर छत्तीसगढ़

5. प्राचार्य,

शा. उ. मा. कन्या शाला

चारामा जिला कांकेर छ. ग.

—

—

—

अन्तर्वेदकगण ।

=====

आवेदन पत्र अंतर्गत धारा 19 म. प्र. राज्य प्रशासनिक अधिकरण अधिनियम



27

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 5163 of 2005

Petitioner : Smt. Urmila Shrawan
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Amit Agrawal, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri V.V.S. Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja,
Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(31st October, 2006)

1. The petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher in the government schools. In the course of her services as Assistant Teacher, the petitioner has obtained B. Ed. examination certificate on her own expenses before 1.3.1999.
2. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.98 issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.
3. Further in the matter of Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others { 2000 (1) M.P.L.S.R. 136 } the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench, while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein, it is provided that the Assistant Teachers, who have acquired B. Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. & others passed in O.A. No. 1632/99, decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the

circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher, may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B. Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advance increments.

4. It is pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers who have obtained B. Ed. examination certificate before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Supreme Court.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired B. Ed. Examination Certificate on her own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether she has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Subhash

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge